arXiv:math/9805064v1 [math.DG] 13 May 1998

Extrinsic Bounds for Eigenvalues of the Dirac Operator

Christian Bär*

October, 1997

Abstract

We derive upper eigenvalue bounds for the Dirac operator of a closed hypersurface in a manifold with Killing spinors such as Euclidean space, spheres or hyperbolic space. The bounds involve the Willmore functional. Relations with the Willmore inequality are briefly discussed. In higher codimension we obtain bounds on the eigenvalues of the Dirac operator of the submanifold twisted with the spinor bundle of the normal bundle.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 58G25, 53C42

Keywords: Dirac operator, eigenvalue estimates, submanifolds, hypersurfaces, mean curvature, Willmore functional

0 Introduction

Lower and upper eigenvalue estimates for operators like the Dirac operator on a closed Riemannian spin manifold are derived by very different methods. Lower estimates are usually based on a Bochner-Weitzenböck formula, i.e. on an intelligent partial integration. The first idea to obtain upper bounds on Dirac eigenvalues is due to Vafa and Witten [18, 1] who show that there are upper eigenvalue estimates for all twisted Dirac operators on a closed Riemannian spin manifold solely in geometric data of the manifold but independent of the twist.

The idea is as follows. Compare the Dirac operator D (or a multiple of it) to a twisted Dirac operator $\tilde{D}$ acting on sections of the same vector bundle. By index theory make sure that $\tilde{D}$ has a kernel. Let k be the multiplicity of the eigenvalue 0 of $\tilde{D}$. Estimate the norm of the difference (which is of zero order), $\|D - \tilde{D}\| =: C$, by geometric quantities. Then at least k eigenvalues of D are bounded by C.

How can one get a good twisted Dirac operator $\tilde{D}$ to compare D with? One way to achieve this is to take a map $f : M \to S^n$ of nonzero degree and pull-back

*partially supported by SFB 256 and by the GADGET program of the EU

suitable vector bundles from the sphere to twist the Dirac operator with. The fact that S^n has no cohomology in middle dimensions helps a lot for the index computations. Taking for f the Gauss map of a surface composed with a suitable self-mapping of S^2 Baum used this idea to show

THEOREM 0.1 (Baum [6, Prop. 2]) *Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a compact oriented surface of genus $g \neq 1$. Then there is an eigenvalue λ of the Dirac operator on M satisfying*

$$|\lambda| \leq c(g) \cdot \max\{\textit{principal curvatures of } M\}$$

where

$$c(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & \textit{if } g = 0 \\ 3 & \textit{if } g = 2, 3 \\ 2 & \textit{if } g \geq 4 \end{cases}$$

The torus ($g = 1$) cannot be dealt with by this approach because its Gauss map has degree zero. Baum could also use this method to obtain intrinsic upper bounds on the Dirac eigenvalues of a compact Riemannian spin manifold in terms of sectional curvature and injectivity radius [6, Prop. 1].

A variation of the same approach was used by Bunke to show

THEOREM 0.2 (Bunke [9, Thm. A]) *Let M be an n-dimensional compact Riemannian spin manifold isometrically immersed in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Let II denote its second fundamental form.*

Then there is a topologically determined number of Dirac eigenvalues of M satisfying

$$\lambda^2 \leq 2^{[n/2]} \cdot \|II\|^2_{L^\infty(M)}.$$

The topologically determined number of Dirac eigenvalues which can be estimated can be given explicitly in terms of N and of characteristic numbers of M. It can be zero however. So the theorem is not always applicable. For example, the case of a torus in $\mathbb{R}^3$ cannot be handled by this theorem either.

The other main method to derive upper eigenvalue bounds is based on the variational characterization of eigenvalues. If one has a k-dimensional space of "test spinors" ϕ on which the *Rayleigh quotient* $(D^2\phi, \phi)_{L^2}/(\phi, \phi)_{L^2}$ is bounded by some constant C, then there are at least k eigenvalues of D^2 bounded by C.

This approach has been used by the author in [3] to get intrinsic upper bounds on Dirac eigenvalues in terms of sectional curvature and injectivity radius. These estimates are sharp for spheres of constant curvature.

Anghel obtained the following bound on *spectral gaps*. If we order the Dirac eigenvalues by increasing absolute value, $0 \leq |\lambda_1| \leq |\lambda_2| \leq \cdots \to \infty$, then

THEOREM 0.3 (Anghel [2, Thm. 3.1]) *Let M be an n-dimensional compact Riemannian spin manifold isometrically immersed in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Let H denote its mean curvature vector field. Let S_0 denote the minimum of the scalar curvature of M.*

Then one has

$$\lambda_{m+1}^2 - \lambda_m^2 \leq n\|H\|_{L^\infty(M)}^2 + \frac{4}{mn}\sum_{k=1}^{m}\lambda_k^2 - \frac{S_0}{n}.$$

The proof is based on the variational characterization of eigenvalues. One constructs test spinors for the $(m+1)^{st}$ eigenvalue using the eigenspinors of the previous eigenvalues and the coordinate functions given by the immersion.

To convert this into an upper bound on the eigenvalues themselves one has to assume something on the smallest eigenvalue. For example, if 0 is an eigenvalue and if the scalar curvature vanishes identically, $S \equiv 0$, then one concludes for the smallest nonzero eigenvalue λ [2, Thm. 3.6]

$$\lambda^2 \leq \frac{n}{\mathrm{vol}(M)}\int_M |H|^2.$$

We will show that on a hypersurface of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ a certain number of Dirac eigenvalues can always be bounded in terms of $\int_M H^2$ without any a-priori assumption on the spectrum or on the scalar curvature. More precisely, we will prove

COROLLARY 4.2 *Let M be an n-dimensional closed oriented hypersurface isometrically immersed in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Let M carry the induced spin structure. Let H be the mean curvature of M in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.*

Then the classical Dirac operator D_M of M has at least $2^{[\frac{n}{2}]}$ eigenvalues λ (counted with multiplicities) satisfying

$$\lambda^2 \leq \frac{n^2}{4\cdot\mathrm{vol}(M)}\int_M H^2.$$

Note that this improves Theorem 0.1 since the maximum of the principal curvatures is replaced by $\int_M H^2/\mathrm{vol}(M)$, the constant $c(g)$ is replaced by 1, and the torus case is included.

We can replace the ambient space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ by other spaces like the standard sphere S^{n+1} or hyperbolic space H^{n+1} in which cases we obtain

COROLLARY 4.3 *Let M be an n-dimensional closed oriented hypersurface isometrically immersed in S^{n+1}. Let M carry the induced spin structure. Let H denote the mean curvature of M in S^{n+1}.*

Then the classical Dirac operator D_M of M has at least $2^{[\frac{n}{2}]}$ eigenvalues λ satisfying

$$\lambda^2 \leq \frac{n^2}{4} + \frac{n^2}{4\cdot\mathrm{vol}(M)}\int_M H^2$$

and

COROLLARY 4.5 *Let M be an n-dimensional closed oriented hypersurface isometrically immersed in H^{n+1}. Let M carry the induced spin structure. Let H denote the mean curvature of M in H^{n+1}.*

Then the classical Dirac operator D_M of M has at least $2^{[\frac{n}{2}]}$ eigenvalues λ satisfying

$$|\lambda| \leq \frac{n}{2}\left(1 + \|H\|_{L^\infty(M)}\right).$$

Equality is attained in corollaries 4.2 and 4.3 for all distance spheres.

In general, the ambient space can be any Riemannian spin manifold carrying Killing spinors. These Killing spinors are then restricted to the hypersurface and used as test spinors. In case the hypersurface bounds an open subset in the ambient space more eigenvalues can be bounded (Theorem 4.7). This is sharp and optimal as the example of the standard sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ shows. The statement of Theorem 4.7 is false if the hypersurface does not bound.

It is also possible to look at submanifolds of higher codimension. Then we obtain upper bounds on the eigenvalues of the Dirac operator on the submanifold twisted with the spinor bundle of the normal bundle. In case of a hypersurface our estimates improve those of Theorem 0.2, in case of higher codimension they are logically independent because they make statements about different operators.

The paper is organized as follows. In the first section we study spinor modules for the Clifford algebra of a direct sum of two Euclidean vector spaces. Later this will be applied to the sum of the tangent space and the normal space of the submanifold.

In the second part we compare the spinor connection of the submanifold to the spinor connection of the ambient space. This implies a relation between the Dirac operator of the ambient space and the Dirac operator of the submanifold twisted with the spinor bundle of the normal bundle. The mean curvature appears as a correction term. We hope that the first two sections in which the "submanifold theory" of Dirac operators is established will also be of independent interest.

In the third section we prove the eigenvalue estimate for submanifolds of arbitrary codimension in a Riemannian spin manifold with Killing spinors. The main result for real Killing constant is Theorem 3.1. The case of imaginary Killing constant is somewhat more complicated because then Killing spinors do not have constant length. The estimate is given in Theorem 3.4.

In the forth part we restrict our attention to hypersurfaces. The results of the previous section yield bounds on the eigenvalues of the classical (untwisted) Dirac operator of the hypersurface. We also discuss relations with the Willmore problem in surface theory. Moreover, we explain how one can bound more eigenvalues if

(and only if) the hypersurface bounds an open subset.

In the last section we show how to get upper bounds on *all* Dirac eigenvalues. These estimates involve the Laplace eigenvalues of the hypersurface.

Acknowledgement. It is a pleasure to thank Bernd Ammann for helpful discussions.

1 Algebraic Preliminaries

Our aim is to compare the Dirac operator on a Riemannian spin manifold with the one on a spin submanifold. In particular, we have to compare the restriction of the spinor bundle to the submanifold with the genuine spinor bundle of the submanifold itself. The starting point is the splitting of the restricted tangent bundle of the large manifold into tangent and normal bundle of the submanifold. Hence we need to compare the spinor modules of the Clifford algebra of a direct sum of two Euclidean vector spaces with the spinor modules associated with the two factors. In principle, this is contained in [14, Ch. I.5] but for our considerations we have to make things more explicit.

Let E be an oriented Euclidean vector space. We denote the complex Clifford algebra of E by $\mathbb{C}l(E)$. For basics on Clifford algebras and spinors see e.g. [8] or [14]. If the dimension n of E is even, then $\mathbb{C}l(E)$ has precisely one irreducible module, the spinor module ΣE. It has $\dim(\Sigma E) = 2^{n/2}$. Denote the Clifford multiplication by $\gamma_E : \mathbb{C}l(E) \to \mathrm{End}(\Sigma E)$. When restricted to the even subalgebra $\mathbb{C}l^0(E)$ the spinor module decomposes into even and odd half-spinors $\Sigma E = \Sigma^+ E \oplus \Sigma^- E$. The "complex volume element" $\omega_{\mathbb{C}} = i^{n/2}\gamma_E(e_1 \cdots e_n)$ acts as $+1$ on $\Sigma^+ E$ and as -1 on $\Sigma^- E$. Here $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ denote a positively oriented orthonormal basis of E.

If n is odd there are exactly two irreducible modules, $\Sigma^0 E$ and $\Sigma^1 E$, again called spinor modules. In this case $\dim(\Sigma^{0,1} E) = 2^{(n-1)/2}$. Clifford multiplication will now be denoted by $\gamma_{E,j} : \mathbb{C}l(E) \to \mathrm{End}(\Sigma^j E)$. Similarly to the half-spinor spaces in even dimensions the two modules $\Sigma^0 E$ and $\Sigma^1 E$ can be distinguished by the action of the complex volume element $\omega_{\mathbb{C}} = i^{(n+1)/2}\gamma_E(e_1 \cdots e_n)$. On $\Sigma^j E$ it acts as $(-1)^j$, $j = 0, 1$.

One can pass from $\Sigma^0 E$ to $\Sigma^1 E$ by taking the same underlying vector space, $\Sigma^0 E = \Sigma^1 E$, and setting $\gamma_{E,1}(X) := -\gamma_{E,0}(X)$ for all $X \in E$. In other words, there exists a vector space isomorphism $\Phi : \Sigma^0 E \to \Sigma^1 E$ such that $\Phi \circ \gamma_{E,0}(X) = -\gamma_{E,1}(X) \circ \Phi$ for all $X \in E$.

Now let E and F be two oriented Euclidean vector spaces. Let $\dim E = n$ and $\dim F = m$. We want to construct the spinor module(s) of $E \oplus F$ from those of E and F.

Case 1. n and m are even.
To obtain a vector space of the correct dimension we simply put

$$\Sigma := \Sigma E \otimes \Sigma F$$

and

$$\gamma : E \oplus F \to \mathrm{End}(\Sigma)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(X)(\sigma \otimes \tau) &:= (\gamma_E(X)\sigma) \otimes \tau, \\ \gamma(Y)(\sigma \otimes \tau) &:= (-1)^{\deg \sigma} \sigma \otimes \gamma_F(Y)\tau, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $X \in E, Y \in F, \sigma \in \Sigma E, \tau \in \Sigma F$ and

$$\deg \sigma = \begin{cases} 0, & \sigma \in \Sigma^+ E \\ 1, & \sigma \in \Sigma^- E \end{cases} .$$

Here the degree $\deg \sigma$ is such that $\gamma_E(\omega_{\mathbb{C}})\sigma = (-1)^{\deg \sigma}\sigma$. One easily checks

$$\gamma(X+Y)\gamma(X+Y)(\sigma \otimes \tau) = -|X+Y|^2 \sigma \otimes \tau.$$

Thus γ extends to a homomorphism $\gamma : \mathbb{C}l(E \oplus F) \to \mathrm{End}(\Sigma)$. Therefore (Σ, γ) is a nontrivial $\mathbb{C}l(E \oplus F)$-module of dimension $2^{n/2} \cdot 2^{m/2} = 2^{(n+m)/2}$, hence isomorphic to $(\Sigma(E \oplus F), \gamma_{E \oplus F})$. The splitting into half-spinor modules is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^+(E \oplus F) &= (\Sigma^+ E \otimes \Sigma^+ E) \oplus (\Sigma^- E \otimes \Sigma^- E) \\ \Sigma^-(E \oplus F) &= (\Sigma^+ E \otimes \Sigma^- E) \oplus (\Sigma^+ E \otimes \Sigma^- E). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. n is even and m is odd.
We put

$$\Sigma^j := \Sigma E \otimes \Sigma^j F$$

for $j = 0, 1$. With the same definition of

$$\gamma_j : E \oplus F \to \mathrm{End}(\Sigma^j)$$

as in Case 1 we again make Σ^0 and Σ^1 into $\mathbb{C}l(E \oplus F)$-modules. One easily checks that the complex volume element of $\mathbb{C}l(E \oplus F)$ acts on Σ^j as $(-1)^j$. Hence (Σ^j, γ_j) is isomorphic to $(\Sigma^j(E \oplus F), \gamma_{E \oplus F, j})$.

Case 3. n is odd and m is even.
Of course, this case is symmetric to the second one. Later on we will apply these preliminary considerations to E the tangent space of a submanifold and to F its

normal space. Then E and F cannot be interchanged. Therefore let us briefly give the explicit formulas in this case too.

We put

$$\Sigma^j := \Sigma^j E \otimes \Sigma F$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_j : E \oplus F &\to \mathrm{End}(\Sigma^j) \\ \gamma_j(X)(\sigma \otimes \tau) &:= (-1)^{\deg \tau} \cdot \gamma_E(X)\sigma \otimes \tau \\ \gamma_j(Y)(\sigma \otimes \tau) &:= \sigma \otimes \gamma_F(Y)\tau, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $X \in E$ and $Y \in F$. One checks that (Σ^j, γ_j) is a realization of $(\Sigma^j(E \oplus F), \gamma_{E\oplus F,j})$.

Case 4. n and m are odd.
This is the most complicated case. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^+ &:= \Sigma^0 E \otimes \Sigma^0 F, \\ \Sigma^- &:= \Sigma^0 E \otimes \Sigma^1 F, \\ \Sigma &:= \Sigma^+ \oplus \Sigma^-. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that there exists a vector space isomorphism $\Phi : \Sigma^0 F \to \Sigma^1 F$ such that $\Phi \circ \gamma_{F,0}(Y) = -\gamma_{F,1}(Y) \circ \Phi$ for all $Y \in F$. With respect to the splitting $\Sigma = \Sigma^+ \oplus \Sigma^-$ define

$$\gamma : E \oplus F \to \mathrm{End}(\Sigma),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(X) &:= i \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma_{E,0}(X) \otimes \Phi^{-1} \\ -\gamma_{E,0}(X) \otimes \Phi & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \gamma(Y) &:= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathrm{Id} \otimes (\Phi^{-1} \circ \gamma_{F,1}(Y)) \\ -\mathrm{Id} \otimes (\Phi \circ \gamma_{F,0}(Y)) & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

One computes

$$\gamma(X+Y) \circ \gamma(X+Y) = -|X+Y|^2 \cdot \mathrm{Id}$$

showing that γ extends to a representation of $\mathbb{C}l(E \oplus F)$ on Σ. Moreover, the complex volume element of $\mathbb{C}l(E \oplus F)$ acts on Σ^+ as $+1$ and on Σ^- as -1.

Therefore (Σ, γ) is a realization of $(\Sigma(E \oplus F), \gamma_{E\oplus F})$ and $\Sigma = \Sigma^+ \oplus \Sigma^-$ is the splitting into half-spinor spaces.

2 Levi-Civita Connection and Dirac Operator

Let Q be an $(n+m)$-dimensional Riemannian manifold and let $M \hookrightarrow Q$ be an n-dimensional immersed submanifold. Let M carry the induced Riemannian

metric. We suppose that both manifolds are equipped with a spin structure. This induces a unique spin structure on the normal bundle N of M in Q such that the sum of the spin structures on the tangent bundle and on the normal bundle of M coincides with the spin structure on the tangent bundle of Q restricted to M [16]. Note that in particular M and Q are oriented.

Denote the Levi-Civita connections of M and Q by ∇^M and ∇^Q resp. Let ∇^N be the normal connection on N. The second fundamental form of M in Q is denoted by II. For $p \in M$ and $X \in T_pM$ the *Gauss formula* says, with respect to the splitting $T_pQ = T_pM \oplus N_p$,

$$\nabla^Q_X = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla^M_X & -II(X,\cdot)^* \\ II(X,\cdot) & \nabla^N_X \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4}$$

In other words,

$$\nabla^Q_X - (\nabla^M_X \oplus \nabla^N_X) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -II(X,\cdot)^* \\ II(X,\cdot) & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5}$$

Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ be a local positively oriented orthonormal tangent frame of M near p, let $Y_1, \ldots, Y_m$ be a local positively oriented orthonormal frame of N near p. Then $h := (X_1, \ldots, X_n, Y_1, \ldots, Y_m)$ is a local section of the frame bundle of Q restricted to M. Now we can write (5) in matrix form as

$$\nabla^Q_X - (\nabla^M_X \oplus \nabla^N_X) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (-\langle II(X,X_i), Y_j\rangle)_{j,i} \\ (\langle II(X,X_i), Y_j\rangle)_{i,j} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{6}$$

Let ω^M, ω^N, and ω^Q be the connection 1-forms of ∇^M, ∇^N, and ∇^Q lifted to $\mathfrak{spin}(n)$, $\mathfrak{spin}(m)$, and $\mathfrak{spin}(m+n)$ resp. If $\Theta : \mathrm{Spin}(n+m) \to \mathrm{SO}(n+m)$ is the usual double covering map, then (6) can be rewritten as

$$\Theta_* \left(\omega^Q(dh \cdot X) - (\omega^M \oplus \omega^N)(dh \cdot X)\right) =$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & (-\langle II(X,X_i), Y_j\rangle)_{j,i} \\ (\langle II(X,X_i), Y_j\rangle)_{i,j} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7}$$

Using a standard formula [14, p. 42] for Θ_*, (7) yields

$$\omega^Q(dh \cdot X) - (\omega^M \oplus \omega^N)(dh \cdot X) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \langle II(X,X_i), Y_j\rangle \cdot e_i \cdot f_j \tag{8}$$

where $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$, $f_1, \ldots, f_m$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^m$ and the whole expression in (8) is an element of $\mathfrak{spin}(n+m) \subset \mathbb{C}l(n+m)$.

¿From the considerations in the previous section we know for the spinor bundles that $\Sigma Q|_M = \Sigma M \otimes \Sigma N$ unless n and m are both odd in which case $\Sigma Q|_M = (\Sigma M \otimes \Sigma N) \oplus (\Sigma M \otimes \Sigma N)$.

Let $\nabla^{\Sigma Q}$, $\nabla^{\Sigma M}$, and $\nabla^{\Sigma N}$ be the Levi-Civita connections on ΣQ, on ΣM, and on ΣN. By

$$\nabla^{\Sigma M\otimes\Sigma N} := \nabla^{\Sigma M}\otimes \mathrm{Id} + \mathrm{Id}\otimes\nabla^{\Sigma N}$$

we mean the product connection on $\Sigma M\otimes\Sigma N$ and also on $(\Sigma M\otimes\Sigma N)\oplus(\Sigma M\otimes\Sigma N)$ if n and m are both odd. Equation (8) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^{\Sigma Q}_X - (\nabla^{\Sigma M}_X\otimes\mathrm{Id} + \mathrm{Id}\otimes\nabla^{\Sigma N}_X) &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{m}\langle II(X,X_i),Y_j\rangle\gamma_Q(X_i\cdot Y_j)\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\gamma_Q(X_i\cdot II(X,X_i)).\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Our aim is to relate the Dirac operator on M twisted with the spinor bundle of the normal bundle, $D_M^{\Sigma N}$, to extrinsic quantities on Q. To this extent we first look at the operators

$$\tilde{D} := \sum_{j=1}^{n}\gamma_Q(X_j)\nabla^{\Sigma M\otimes\Sigma N}_{X_j}$$

and

$$\widehat{D} := \sum_{j=1}^{n}\gamma_Q(X_j)\nabla^{\Sigma Q}_{X_j}.$$

It is easy to see that the definitions are independent of the choice of orthonormal frame $X_1,\ldots,X_n$. Both operators act on sections of $\Sigma Q|_M$. In contrast to the Dirac operator on M they both use Clifford multiplication γ_Q instead of γ_M.

By $D_M^{\Sigma N}$ we mean the Dirac operator on M twisted with the bundle ΣN. We define

$$\tilde{D}_M^{\Sigma N} := \begin{cases} D_M^{\Sigma N}\oplus -D_M^{\Sigma N} & , \text{ if } n \text{ and } m \text{ are odd}\\ D_M^{\Sigma N} & , \text{ otherwise}\end{cases}$$

With this definition $D_M^{\Sigma N}$ acts on sections of $\Sigma M\otimes\Sigma N$ and $\tilde{D}_M^{\Sigma N}$ acts on sections of $\Sigma Q|_M$.

Let $H = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} II(X_j,X_j)$ be the *mean curvature vector field* of M.

Lemma 2.1 *The operator $\tilde{D}$ is formally self-adjoint and we have*

$$\tilde{D}^2 = (\tilde{D}_M^{\Sigma N})^2.$$

Moreover,

$$\tilde{D} = \widehat{D} + \frac{n}{2}\gamma_Q(H).$$

Proof. Using (9) we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{D} - \tilde{D} &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\gamma_Q(X_j)\gamma_Q(X_i\cdot II(X_j,X_i))\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\gamma_Q(X_j\cdot X_i)\gamma_Q(II(X_j,X_i)).\end{aligned}$$

The terms with $i \neq j$ cancel because $X_j \cdot X_i$ is antisymmetric in j and i whereas $II(X_j, X_i)$ is symmetric. ¿From $\gamma_Q(X_j \cdot X_j) = -1$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{D} - \tilde{D} &= -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{n} \gamma_Q(II(X_j, X_j)) \\ &= -\frac{n}{2}\gamma_Q(H). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have shown

$$\tilde{D} = \widehat{D} + \frac{n}{2}\gamma_Q(H).$$

To relate $\tilde{D}$ and $\tilde{D}_M^{\Sigma N}$ we have to distinguish the various cases of section 1. If n is even (case 1 or case 2), then we have, using (1),

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D} &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \gamma_Q(X_j) \nabla_{X_j}^{\Sigma M \otimes \Sigma N} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\gamma_M(X_j) \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \nabla_{X_j}^{\Sigma M \otimes \Sigma N} \\ &= D_M^{\Sigma N} \\ &= \tilde{D}_M^{\Sigma N}. \end{aligned}$$

If n is odd and m is even (case 3) we get from (2) on $\Sigma M \otimes \Sigma^+ N$

$$\tilde{D} = D_M^{\Sigma N} = \tilde{D}_M^{\Sigma N}$$

whereas on $\Sigma M \otimes \Sigma^- N$ we obtain

$$\tilde{D} = -D_M^{\Sigma N} = -\tilde{D}_M^{\Sigma N}.$$

Finally, if n and m are both odd (case 4) we get from (3)

$$\tilde{D} = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D_M^{\Sigma N} \\ -D_M^{\Sigma N} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In all cases we see that $\tilde{D}$ is formally self-adjoint because $D_M^{\Sigma N}$ is, and $\tilde{D}^2 = (\tilde{D}_M^{\Sigma N})^2$. □

3 The Estimate in Arbitrary Codimension

With the preparations of the previous two sections we are now able to bound Dirac eigenvalues of the submanifold M by extrinsic data provided Q is a very "nice" manifold meaning that it carries parallel or, more generally, Killing spinors.

Recall that a spinor ψ on Q is called *Killing spinor* with *Killing constant* $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ if

$$\nabla_X^{\Sigma Q}\psi = \alpha \cdot \gamma_Q(X)\psi$$

for all $X \in TQ$. Obviously, the set of Killing spinors with Killing constant α forms a vector space. We define

$$\nu(Q,\alpha) := \dim\{\psi |\ \psi \text{ is a spinor on } Q \text{ satisfying } \nabla_X^{\Sigma Q}\psi = \alpha \cdot \gamma_Q(X)\psi\}.$$

Let $\mu(Q,n,\alpha)$ be the smallest integer greater than or equal to $\nu(Q,\alpha)/2$ if dimension n and codimension m of M are both odd; put $\mu(Q,n,\alpha) := \nu(Q,\alpha)$ otherwise.

THEOREM 3.1 *Let Q be a (not necessarily complete) Riemannian spin manifold. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Let M be an n-dimensional closed Riemannian spin manifold isometrically immersed in Q. Let the normal bundle N of M in Q carry the induced spin structure. Let H be the mean curvature vector field of M in Q.*

Then there are at least $\mu = \mu(Q,n,\alpha)$ eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_\mu$ of the Dirac operator $D_M^{\Sigma N}$ on M with coefficients in the spinor bundle of the normal bundle, ΣN, satisfying the estimate

$$\lambda_j^2 \le n^2\alpha^2 + \frac{n^2}{4 \cdot vol(M)} \int_M |H|^2$$

Manifolds with parallel spinors can be characterized in terms of holonomy [12, 19]. Simply connected manifolds with Killing spinors are described in [5]. Let us look at the most prominent examples.

Example. Let $Q = \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ with the Euclidean metric. The spinor bundle $\Sigma\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ can be trivialized by parallel spinors. Hence for $\alpha = 0$, $\nu(\mathbb{R}^{n+m},0) = 2^{[\frac{n+m}{2}]}$, and $\mu = \mu(\mathbb{R}^{n+m},n,0) = 2^{[\frac{n}{2}]+[\frac{m}{2}]}$.

COROLLARY 3.2 *Let M be an n-dimensional closed Riemannian spin manifold, isometrically immersed in $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$. Let the normal bundle N of M in $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ carry the induced spin structure. Let H be the mean curvature vector field of M.*

Then the Dirac operator $D_M^{\Sigma N}$ of M with coefficients in ΣN has at least $2^{[\frac{n}{2}]+[\frac{m}{2}]}$ eigenvalues λ (counted with multiplicities) satisfying

$$\lambda^2 \le \frac{n^2}{4 \cdot vol(M)} \int_M |H|^2.$$

□

Example. Let $Q = S^{n+m}$ with the standard metric of constant sectional curvature 1. The spinor bundle ΣS^{n+m} can be trivialized by Killing spinors with Killing constant $\alpha = 1/2$. Hence $\nu(S^{n+m}, 1/2) = 2^{[\frac{n+m}{2}]}$ and $\mu = \mu(S^{n+m}, n, 1/2) = 2^{[\frac{n}{2}]+[\frac{m}{2}]}$.

COROLLARY 3.3 *Let M be an n-dimensional closed Riemannian spin manifold, isometrically immersed in S^{n+m}. Let the normal bundle N of M in S^{n+m} carry the induced spin structure. Let H denote the mean curvature vector field of M.*

Then the Dirac operator $D_M^{\Sigma N}$ of M with coefficients in ΣN has at least $2^{[\frac{n}{2}]+[\frac{m}{2}]}$ eigenvalues λ satisfying

$$\lambda^2 \leq \frac{n^2}{4} + \frac{n^2}{4 \cdot vol(M)} \int_M |H|^2.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We will show that the Rayleigh quotient for $(\tilde{D}_M^{\Sigma N})^2$ is bounded by $n^2\alpha^2 + \frac{n^2}{4 \cdot vol(M)} \int_M |H|^2$ on the space of restrictions to M of Killing spinors on Q with Killing constant α. Since linearly independent Killing spinors are linearly independent at every point the space of restrictions of Killing spinors on Q to M

$$\{\psi|_M \mid \psi \text{ is a spinor on } Q \text{ satisfying } \nabla_X^{\Sigma Q}\psi = \alpha \cdot \gamma_Q(X)\psi \quad \forall X \in TQ\}$$

is also ν-dimensional. The factor $1/2$ relating ν and μ in case n and m are odd comes from the fact that in this case the operator $\tilde{D}_M^{\Sigma N}$ in Lemma 2.1 corresponds to two times the Dirac operator $D_M^{\Sigma N}$.

Now let ψ be a Killing spinor on Q with Killing constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Such Killing spinors have constant length and we may assume w.l.o.g. that $|\psi| \equiv 1$. We compute the Rayleigh quotient of $(\tilde{D}_M^{\Sigma N})^2$ using the notation of Lemma 2.1

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{((\tilde{D}_M^{\Sigma N})^2\psi, \psi)_{L^2(M)}}{(\psi, \psi)_{L^2(M)}} &= \frac{(\tilde{D}^2\psi, \psi)_{L^2(M)}}{\mathrm{vol}(M)} \\
&= \frac{(\tilde{D}\psi, \tilde{D}\psi)_{L^2(M)}}{\mathrm{vol}(M)} \\
&= \frac{\left(\widehat{D}\psi + \frac{n}{2}\gamma_Q(H)\psi, \widehat{D}\psi + \frac{n}{2}\gamma_Q(H)\psi\right)_{L^2(M)}}{\mathrm{vol}(M)} \\
&= \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(M)} \left\{ \|\widehat{D}\psi\|^2_{L^2(M)} + \frac{n}{2}(\widehat{D}\psi, \gamma_Q(H)\psi)_{L^2(M)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{n}{2}(\gamma_Q(H)\psi, \widehat{D}\psi)_{L^2(M)} + \frac{n^2}{4}\|\gamma_Q(H)\psi\|^2_{L^2(M)} \right\}. \quad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

Using the Killing spinor equation we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{D}\psi &= \sum_{j=1}^{n}\gamma_Q(X_j)\nabla^{\Sigma N}_{X_j}\psi \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n}\gamma_Q(X_j)\alpha\gamma_Q(X_j)\psi \\ &= -n\cdot\alpha\cdot\psi. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Plugging (11) into (10) and using skew symmetry of $\gamma_Q(H)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{((\tilde{D}^{\Sigma N}_M)^2\psi,\psi)_{L^2(M)}}{(\psi,\psi)_{L^2(M)}} &= \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(M)}\left\{n^2\alpha^2\mathrm{vol}(M) - \frac{n^2\alpha}{2}(\psi,\gamma_Q(H)\psi)_{L^2(M)} - \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{n^2\alpha}{2}(\gamma_Q(H)\psi,\psi)_{L^2(M)} - \frac{n^2}{4}(\psi,\gamma_Q(H)\gamma_Q(H)\psi)_{L^2(M)}\right\} \\ &= n^2\alpha^2 + \frac{n^2}{4}\frac{\int_M|H|^2|\psi|^2}{\mathrm{vol}(M)} \\ &= n^2\alpha^2 + \frac{n^2}{4}\frac{\int_M|H|^2}{\mathrm{vol}(M)}. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Since the Rayleigh quotient of $(\tilde{D}^{\Sigma N}_M)^2$ is bounded by $n^2\alpha^2 + \frac{n^2}{4}\frac{\int_M|H|^2}{vol(M)}$ on a ν-dimensional space of spinors on M the min-max principle implies the assertion. □

Remark. Corollary 3.3 can also be derived by looking at the immersion $M \hookrightarrow S^{n+m} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+m+1}$ and using the parallel spinors on $\mathbb{R}^{n+m+1}$. This is a general fact; Killing spinors with nonzero real Killing constant on a manifold Q correspond to parallel spinors on the cone over Q [5]. This way one can avoid dealing with Killing spinors for real Killing constant.

There are also manifolds with Killing spinors for purely imaginary Killing constant α. We can still get an eigenvalue estimate but we have to replace the L^2-norm of the mean curvature H by the L^∞-norm.

THEOREM 3.4 *Let Q be a (not necessarily complete) Riemannian spin manifold. Let $\alpha \in i\mathbb{R}$. Let M be an n-dimensional closed Riemannian spin manifold isometrically immersed in Q. Let the normal bundle N of M in Q carry the induced spin structure. Let H be the mean curvature vector field of M in Q.*

Then there are at least $\mu = \mu(Q,n,\alpha)$ eigenvalues $\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_\mu$ of the Dirac operator $D^{\Sigma N}_M$ on M with coefficients in the spinor bundle of the normal bundle, ΣN, satisfying the estimate

$$|\lambda_j| \le n\left(|\alpha| + \frac{1}{2}\|H\|_{L^\infty(M)}\right).$$

Proof. We take a Killing spinor ψ on Q for the Killing constant α and plug $\psi|_M$ into the Rayleigh quotient of $(\tilde{D}_M^{\Sigma N})^2$. The same computations as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 yield

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{((\tilde{D}_M^{\Sigma N})^2\psi,\psi)_{L^2(M)}}{(\psi,\psi)_{L^2(M)}} &= \frac{1}{\|\psi\|^2_{L^2(M)}}\left\{n^2|\alpha|^2\|\psi\|^2_{L^2(M)} - \frac{n^2\alpha}{2}(\psi,\gamma_Q(H)\psi)_{L^2(M)} - \right.\\ &\quad \left.\frac{n^2\bar{\alpha}}{2}(\gamma_Q(H)\psi,\psi)_{L^2(M)} - \frac{n^2}{4}(\psi,\gamma_Q(H)\gamma_Q(H)\psi)_{L^2(M)}\right\}\\ &= n^2|\alpha|^2 - n^2\alpha\frac{(\psi,\gamma_Q(H)\psi)_{L^2(M)}}{\|\psi\|^2_{L^2(M)}} + \frac{n^2}{4}\frac{\int_M|H|^2|\psi|^2}{\|\psi\|^2_{L^2(M)}} \qquad (13)\end{aligned}$$

Note that $|\psi|$ is no longer constant. For any $\beta > 0$ we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\alpha(\psi,\gamma_Q(H)\psi)_{L^2(M)}\right| &\leq |\alpha|\cdot\int_M|\psi|^2|H|\\ &= \int_M\beta|\alpha||\psi|\cdot\beta^{-1}|\psi||H|\\ &\leq \sqrt{\beta^2\int_M|\alpha|^2|\psi|^2}\cdot\sqrt{\beta^{-2}\int_M|\psi|^2|H|^2}\\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\left\{\beta^2|\alpha|^2\int_M|\psi|^2+\beta^{-2}\int_M|\psi|^2|H|^2\right\}\\ &\leq \frac{\|\psi\|^2_{L^2(M)}}{2}\left\{\beta^2|\alpha|^2+\beta^{-2}\|H\|^2_{L^\infty(M)}\right\}. \qquad (14)\end{aligned}$$

For $\beta^2 = \frac{\|H\|_{L^\infty(M)}}{|\alpha|}$ inequality (14) yields

$$\left|\alpha(\psi,\gamma_Q(H)\psi)_{L^2(M)}\right| \leq \|\psi\|^2_{L^2(M)}\cdot|\alpha|\cdot\|H\|_{L^\infty(M)}. \qquad (15)$$

Plugging (15) into (13) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{((\tilde{D}_M^{\Sigma N})^2\psi,\psi)_{L^2(M)}}{(\psi,\psi)_{L^2(M)}} &\leq n^2\cdot|\alpha|^2+n^2\cdot|\alpha|\cdot\|H\|_{L^\infty(M)}+\frac{n^2}{4}\cdot\|H\|^2_{L^\infty(M)}\\ &= n^2\cdot\left(|\alpha|+\frac{1}{2}\|H\|_{L^\infty(M)}\right)^2.\end{aligned}$$

The min-max principle implies the assertion. □

A discussion of manifolds with Killing spinors for imaginary Killing constant can be found in [7]. The most important example is hyperbolic space $Q = H^{n+m}$. In this case for $\alpha = \frac{i}{2}$ we have $\nu(H^{n+m}, i/2) = 2^{[\frac{n+m}{2}]}$ and $\mu = \mu(H^{n+m}, n, i/2) = 2^{[\frac{n}{2}]+[\frac{m}{2}]}$. We obtain

COROLLARY 3.5 *Let M be an n-dimensional closed Riemannian spin manifold, isometrically immersed in H^{n+m}. Let the normal bundle N of M in H^{n+m} carry the induced spin structure. Let H denote the mean curvature vector field of M.*

Then the Dirac operator $D_M^{\Sigma N}$ of M with coefficients in ΣN has at least $2^{[\frac{n}{2}]+[\frac{m}{2}]}$ eigenvalues λ satisfying

$$|\lambda| \leq \frac{n}{2}\left(1 + \|H\|_{L^\infty(M)}\right).$$

□

Remark. If we introduce the *extrinsic radius* of M in Q,

$$rad_Q(M) = \inf\{R > 0 \mid \exists\, p \in X \text{ s.t. } M \subset B(p,R)\},$$

then the estimate in Theorem 3.4 can be replaced by

$$|\lambda_j| \leq n \cdot \left(|\alpha| + \frac{e^{|\alpha| rad_Q(M)}}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\int_M |H|^2}{\mathrm{vol}(M)}}\right).$$

Similarly, in Corollary 3.5 we also obtain the estimate

$$|\lambda| \leq \frac{n}{2}\left(1 + e^{rad_{H^{n+m}}(M)/2}\sqrt{\frac{\int_M |H|^2}{\mathrm{vol}(M)}}\right).$$

The proof is a variation of that of Theorem 3.4 using a simple control of the growth of Killing spinors along geodesics. The details are left to the reader.

4 Hypersurfaces

We now turn to hypersurfaces, i.e. to the case $m = 1$. The point is that now the normal bundle N is an oriented real line bundle, hence trivial. Therefore ΣN is the trivial complex line bundle and $D_M^{\Sigma N} = D_M$ is the classical (untwisted) Dirac operator on M acting on spinors. Thus Theorem 3.1 immediately gives

THEOREM 4.1 *Let Q be a (not necessarily complete) Riemannian spin manifold. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Let M be an n-dimensional closed oriented hypersurface isometrically immersed in Q. Let M carry the induced spin structure. Let H be the mean curvature of M in Q.*

Then there are at least $\mu = \mu(Q, n, \alpha)$ eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_\mu$ of the classical Dirac operator D_M on M satisfying the estimate

$$\lambda_j^2 \leq n^2\alpha^2 + \frac{n^2}{4 \cdot vol(M)}\int_M H^2.$$

□

Looking at special cases for Q we get corollaries corresponding to those of the previous section.

COROLLARY 4.2 *Let M be an n-dimensional closed oriented hypersurface isometrically immersed in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Let M carry the induced spin structure. Let H be the mean curvature of M in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.*

Then the classical Dirac operator D_M of M has at least $2^{[\frac{n}{2}]}$ eigenvalues λ (counted with multiplicities) satisfying

$$\lambda^2 \leq \frac{n^2}{4 \cdot vol(M)} \int_M H^2.$$

□

Note that equality is attained for the standard sphere $M = S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Indeed, S^n has the eigenvalue $\lambda = n/2$ with multiplicity $2^{[n/2]}$. It is interesting to combine the upper eigenvalue estimate with a lower bound if $M = S^2$. Namely, if M is a surface diffeomorphic to S^2, then all eigenvalues of the Dirac operator on M satisfy

$$\lambda^2 \geq \frac{4 \cdot \pi}{\text{area}(M)} \tag{16}$$

with equality for the eigenvalue of smallest absolute value if and only if the metric of M has constant Gauss curvature [4, Thm. 2]. Combining this with Corollary 4.2 yields

$$\frac{1}{\text{area}(M)} \int_M H^2 \geq \lambda^2 \geq \frac{4 \cdot \pi}{\text{area}(M)}$$

for the "smallest" Dirac eigenvalue λ and hence in particular

$$\int_M H^2 \geq 4 \cdot \pi. \tag{17}$$

This is known as the *Willmore inequality* [20, Thm. 7.2.2]. It actually holds for all closed oriented surfaces immersed in $\mathbb{R}^3$. The equality case in (17) can also easily be discussed.

There is a conjecture that if M is an embedded torus, then

$$\int_M H^2 \geq 2 \cdot \pi^2.$$

It is established for some classes of tori [20, Thm. 7.2.4], [17], [11], [13], [15] but in full generality it is still open.

Question. Can one show that Dirac eigenvalues λ of tori isometrically embedded in $\mathbb{R}^3$ satisfy

$$\lambda^2 \geq \frac{2 \cdot \pi^2}{\text{area}(M)}$$

or at least

$$\lambda^2 \geq \frac{4 \cdot \pi}{\text{area}(M)} \quad ?$$

It is known that the spin structure on a 2-torus induced by an *embedding* in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is never trivial and thus $\lambda \neq 0$. In contrast, the spin structure on a torus induced by an *immersion* can be trivial and hence $\lambda = 0$ can occur. Therefore the answer to the question is certainly "no" for immersed tori.

COROLLARY 4.3 *Let M be an n-dimensional closed oriented hypersurface isometrically immersed in S^{n+1}. Let M carry the induced spin structure. Let H denote the mean curvature of M in S^{n+1}.*

Then the classical Dirac operator D_M of M has at least $2^{[\frac{n}{2}]}$ eigenvalues λ satisfying

$$\lambda^2 \leq \frac{n^2}{4} + \frac{n^2}{4 \cdot vol(M)} \int_M H^2.$$

□

Equality in Corollary 4.3 is attained for hyperspheres in S^{n+1} cut out by affine hyperplanes of $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$. For example, an equatorial hypersphere $S^n \subset S^{n+1}$ is totally geodesic, hence $H \equiv 0$, and $\lambda = \frac{n}{2}$ is an eigenvalue.

By combining Corollary 4.3 with (16) we get a Willmore inequality for 2-spheres immersed in S^3. Namely, let M be a closed oriented surface of genus 0 isometrically immersed in S^3. Let H denote the mean curvature of M in S^3. Then

$$4 \cdot \pi \leq \text{area}(M) + \int_M H^2.$$

Theorem 3.4 yields in the case of hypersurfaces

THEOREM 4.4 *Let Q be a (not necessarily complete) Riemannian spin manifold. Let $\alpha \in i\mathbb{R}$. Let M be an n-dimensional closed oriented hypersurface isometrically immersed in Q. Let M carry the induced spin structure. Let H be the mean curvature of M in Q.*

Then there are at least $\mu = \mu(Q, n, \alpha)$ eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_\mu$ of the classical Dirac operator D_M on M satisfying the estimate

$$|\lambda_j| \leq n \left(|\alpha| + \frac{1}{2} \|H\|_{L^\infty(M)} \right).$$

□

COROLLARY 4.5 *Let M be an n-dimensional closed oriented hypersurface isometrically immersed in H^{n+1}. Let M carry the induced spin structure. Let H denote the mean curvature of M in H^{n+1}.*

Then the classical Dirac operator D_M of M has at least $2^{[\frac{n}{2}]}$ eigenvalues λ satisfying

$$|\lambda| \leq \frac{n}{2}\left(1 + \|H\|_{L^\infty(M)}\right).$$

□

If M is the distance sphere from a point $p \in H^{n+1}$ with radius $r > 0$, then $H = \coth(r)$ and the smallest positive eigenvalue of the Dirac operator is $\lambda(r) = \frac{n}{2\cdot\sinh(r)}$. Hence the estimate in Corollary 4.5 is asymptotically sharp for $r \to 0$ in the sense that

$$\lim_{r\searrow 0} \frac{|\lambda(r)|}{\frac{n}{2}\left(1 + \|H\|_{L^\infty(M)}\right)} = 1.$$

Of course, we also obtain a version of the Willmore inequality. Namely, let M be a closed oriented surface of genus 0 isometrically immersed in H^3. Let H denote the mean curvature of M in H^3. Then

$$4 \cdot \pi \leq \left(1 + \|H\|_{L^\infty(M)}\right)^2 \cdot \mathrm{area}(M).$$

As mentioned at the end of Section 3 the L^∞-norm of H in the estimates of Theorem 4.4 and Corollary 4.5 can be replaced by the L^2-norm if we insert an additional term involving the extrinsic radius of M in the ambient space.

Before concluding this section let us note that Theorem 4.1 can be improved if M bounds a relatively compact open subset $W \subset Q$. To do this we need the following version of the standard variational characterization of eigenvalues.

LEMMA 4.6 *Let $\mathfrak{L}$ be a separable Hilbert space, let $\mathfrak{H}_1$ and $\mathfrak{H}_2$ be two ν-dimensional subspaces, orthogonal to each other. Let A be a nonnegative selfadjoint operator on $\mathfrak{L}$ with pure point spectrum $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \ldots$ where the eigenvalues are repeated according to their multiplicity.*

Denote the Rayleigh quotient of A by Q^A:

$$Q^A(\phi) = \frac{(A\phi, \phi)}{\|\phi\|^2}.$$

Let Q^A be bounded on $\mathfrak{H}_1$ and $\mathfrak{H}_2$ by some constant C.

Then for $j = 1, \ldots, \nu$:

$$\frac{\lambda_j + \lambda_{2\nu-j+1}}{2} \leq C.$$

Note that this result is sharp as one sees from the following example. Let $\mathfrak{L} = \mathbb{C}^4$ with the standard orthonormal basis e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4. Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{H}_1$ be spanned by $e_2 + e_3$ and $e_1 + e_4$ and $\mathfrak{H}_2$ by $e_2 - e_3$ and $-e_1 + e_4$. One checks that Q^A is bounded by $C = 5/2$ on $\mathfrak{H}_i$.

Indeed, $\frac{\lambda_1+\lambda_4}{2} = C$ and $\frac{\lambda_2+\lambda_3}{2} = C$. Note that the estimate already fails for $\frac{\lambda_2+\lambda_4}{2}$.

Proof of Lemma 4.6. Let $\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{L}$ consisting of eigenvectors of A for the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots$. For $j \leq k$ denote the span of $\phi_j, \phi_{j+1}, \ldots, \phi_k$ by E_j^k and the span of $\phi_j, \phi_{j+1}, \ldots$ by E_j^∞.

Let $1 \leq j \leq \nu$. ¿From $\dim(\mathfrak{H}_i) = \nu$ and $\operatorname{codim}(E_j^\infty) = j - 1$ we see that $\dim(\mathfrak{H}_i \cap E_j^\infty) \geq \nu - j + 1$ and hence

$$\dim\Big((\mathfrak{H}_1 \cap E_j^\infty) \oplus (\mathfrak{H}_2 \cap E_j^\infty)\Big) \geq 2\nu - 2j + 2.$$

We look at the map

$$\Phi : (\mathfrak{H}_1 \cap E_j^\infty) \oplus (\mathfrak{H}_2 \cap E_j^\infty) \to E_j^{2\nu-j},$$

$$\Phi(\psi_1 \oplus \psi_2) = (\text{orthogonal projection onto } E_j^{2\nu-j})(\psi_1 - \psi_2).$$

Since $\dim(E_j^{2\nu-j}) = 2\nu - 2j + 1$ the kernel of Φ must be nontrivial. Let $\psi_1 \oplus \psi_2$ be in this kernel, $\psi_i \in \mathfrak{H}_i \cap E_j^\infty$. We express ψ_1 and ψ_2 in the basis $\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots$,

$$\psi_i = \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \alpha_{i,k}\phi_k.$$

Since $\psi_1 \oplus \psi_2$ is in the kernel of Φ we have $\alpha_{1,k} = \alpha_{2,k}$ for $k = j, \ldots, 2\nu - j$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} Q^A(\psi_1 + \psi_2) &= \frac{(A(\psi_1 + \psi_2), \psi_1 + \psi_2)}{\|\psi_1 + \psi_2\|^2} \\ &= \frac{(\sum_{k\geq j}(\alpha_{1,k} + \alpha_{2,k})\lambda_k\phi_k, \sum_{l\geq j}(\alpha_{1,l} + \alpha_{2,l})\phi_l)}{(\sum_{k\geq j}(\alpha_{1,k} + \alpha_{2,k})\phi_k, \sum_{l\geq j}(\alpha_{1,l} + \alpha_{2,l})\phi_l)} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{k\geq j} |\alpha_{1,k} + \alpha_{2,k}|^2\lambda_k}{\sum_{k\geq j} |\alpha_{1,k} + \alpha_{2,k}|^2} \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda_j \sum_{k\geq j} |\alpha_{1,k} + \alpha_{2,k}|^2}{\sum_{k\geq j} |\alpha_{1,k} + \alpha_{2,k}|^2} \\ &= \lambda_j \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

and similarly

$$Q^A(\psi_1 - \psi_2) = \frac{\sum_{k\geq 2\nu-j+1} |\alpha_{1,k} - \alpha_{2,k}|^2 \lambda_k}{\sum_{k\geq 2\nu-j+1} |\alpha_{1,k} - \alpha_{2,k}|^2} \geq \lambda_{2\nu-j+1}. \tag{19}$$

Adding (18) and (19) we get, using the fact that ψ_1 and ψ_2 are orthogonal,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_j + \lambda_{2\nu-j+1} &\leq Q^A(\psi_1 + \psi_2) + Q^A(\psi_1 - \psi_2) \\
&= \frac{(A\psi_1, \psi_1) + (A\psi_1, \psi_2) + (A\psi_2, \psi_1) + (A\psi_2, \psi_2)}{\|\psi_1\|^2 + \|\psi_2\|^2} \\
&\quad + \frac{(A\psi_1, \psi_1) - (A\psi_1, \psi_2) - (A\psi_2, \psi_1) + (A\psi_2, \psi_2)}{\|\psi_1\|^2 + \|\psi_2\|^2} \\
&= 2 \cdot \frac{(A\psi_1, \psi_1) + (A\psi_2, \psi_2)}{\|\psi_1\|^2 + \|\psi_2\|^2} \\
&\leq 2 \cdot \frac{C \cdot \|\psi_1\|^2 + C \cdot \|\psi_2\|^2}{\|\psi_1\|^2 + \|\psi_2\|^2} \\
&= 2 \cdot C.
\end{aligned}$$

□

THEOREM 4.7 *Let Q be a (not necessarily complete) $(n+1)$-dimensional Riemannian spin manifold. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $W \subset Q$ be a relatively compact open subset with smooth boundary M. Let M carry the induced spin structure. Let H be the mean curvature of M in Q.*

Then there are at least $2\mu = 2\mu(Q, n, \alpha)$ eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{2\mu}$ of the classical Dirac operator D_M on M satisfying the estimate

$$\frac{\lambda_j^2 + \lambda_{2\mu-j+1}^2}{2} \leq n^2\alpha^2 + \frac{n^2}{4 \cdot vol(M)} \int_M H^2$$

for $j = 1, \ldots, \mu$.

Remark. Theorem 4.7 should be read as follows. By Theorem 4.1 one knows that there are μ eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_\mu$ satisfying

$$\lambda_j^2 \leq n^2\alpha^2 + \frac{n^2}{4 \cdot \mathrm{vol}(M)} \int_M H^2 =: C.$$

Now Theorem 4.7 says in particular that

$$\lambda_{\mu+j}^2 \leq 2C$$

for $1 \leq j \leq \mu$. If one of the "higher" eigenvalues $\lambda_{\mu+j}^2$ is much larger that C, say $\lambda_{\mu+j}^2 \geq C + \epsilon$, then the corresponding "small" eigenvalue $\lambda_{\mu-j+1}^2$ must satisfy the stronger estimate

$$\lambda_{\mu-j+1}^2 \leq C - \epsilon.$$

Example. If $M = S^n \subset Q = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is the standard sphere, then by Corollary 4.2 there are at least $\mu = 2^{[n/2]}$ eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_\mu$ satisfying

$$\lambda_j^2 \leq \frac{n^2}{4 \cdot \mathrm{vol}(M)} \int_M H^2 = \frac{n^2}{4}.$$

The scalar curvature of M is $n(n-1)$ and hence by Friedrich's estimate [10] all eigenvalues λ satisfy

$$\lambda^2 \geq \frac{1}{4} \frac{n}{n-1} n(n-1) = \frac{n^2}{4}.$$

Thus by Theorem 4.7 there must actually be $2\mu = 2^{[n/2]+1}$ eigenvalues λ_j satisfying

$$\lambda_j^2 \leq \frac{n^2}{4}.$$

In fact, the eigenvalues $\lambda = \pm\frac{n}{2}$ both have multiplicity $2^{[n/2]}$.

Proof. We know that the Rayleigh quotient of $A = (\tilde{D}_M)^2 = (\tilde{D}_M^{\Sigma N})^2$ is bounded by

$$C = n^2\alpha^2 + \frac{n^2}{4 \cdot \mathrm{vol}(M)} \int_M H^2$$

on the ν-dimensional subspace

$$\mathfrak{H}_1 = \{\psi|_M \mid \psi \text{ is a spinor on } Q \text{ satisfying } \nabla_X^{\Sigma Q}\psi = \alpha \cdot \gamma_Q(X)\psi \quad \forall X \in TQ\}$$

of $\mathfrak{L} = L^2(M, \Sigma Q|_M)$. Let Z denote the exterior unit normal field of $W \subset Q$ along $\partial W = M$. Define another subspace of $L^2(M, \Sigma Q|_M)$ by

$$\mathfrak{H}_2 := \{\gamma_Q(Z)\psi \mid \psi \in \mathfrak{H}_1\}.$$

Since Clifford multiplication with Z is an isomorphism (with inverse $-\gamma_Q(Z)$) $\mathfrak{H}_2$ is also ν-dimensional.

We compute the Rayleigh quotient on $\mathfrak{H}_2$. Let $\gamma_Q(Z)\psi \in \mathfrak{H}_2$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left(\tilde{D}_M(\gamma_Q(Z)\psi), \tilde{D}_M(\gamma_Q(Z)\psi)\right)_{L^2(M)}}{(\gamma_Q(Z)\psi, \gamma_Q(Z)\psi)_{L^2(M)}} &= \frac{\left(-\gamma_Q(Z)\tilde{D}_M\psi, -\gamma_Q(Z)\tilde{D}_M\psi\right)_{L^2(M)}}{(\psi,\psi)_{L^2(M)}} \\
&= \frac{(\tilde{D}_M\psi, \tilde{D}_M\psi)_{L^2(M)}}{(\psi,\psi)_{L^2(M)}} \\
&= n^2\alpha^2 + \frac{n^2}{4 \cdot \mathrm{vol}(M)} \int_M H^2.
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that $\mathfrak{H}_1$ and $\mathfrak{H}_2$ are L^2-orthogonal. This is where we use that M bounds. Let ψ_1 and ψ_2 be in $\mathfrak{H}_1$. Using the Green's formula [14, p. 115,

eq. (5.7)] we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M \langle \psi_1, \gamma_Q(Z)\psi_2\rangle &= (\psi_1, D_W\psi_2)_{L^2(W)} - (D_W\psi_1, \psi_2)_{L^2(W)} \\ &= (\psi_1, -(n+1)\alpha\psi_2)_{L^2(W)} - (-(n+1)\alpha\psi_1, \psi_2)_{L^2(W)} \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.6 yields the assertion. □

Note that Theorem 4.7 fails if the hypersurface M does not bound in Q. For example, for the flat 3-torus $Q = \mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{Z}^3$ we have $\nu(\mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{Z}^3, 0) = 2$ and thus $\mu(\mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{Z}^3, 2, 0) = 2$. Let $M = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2 \subset Q = \mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{Z}^3$ be a linear subtorus. Then M is totally geodesic, hence $H \equiv 0$. Theorem 4.1 says that the eigenvalue $\lambda = 0$ has multiplicity 2 at least. Indeed, the multiplicity is precisely 2. If Theorem 4.7 could be applied in this case it would say that the multiplicity of $\lambda = 0$ is at least 4 which is not true.

5 Higher Eigenvalues

So far we have given estimates on the smallest μ eigenvalues only. It is also possible to bound higher eigenvalues. We will show how to obtain bounds on higher Dirac eigenvalues which involve the eigenvalues of the Laplace-Beltrami operator acting on functions.

We return to the case of arbitrary codimension.

THEOREM 5.1 *Let Q be a Riemannian spin manifold. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Let M be an n-dimensional closed Riemannian spin manifold isometrically immersed in Q. Let the normal bundle N of M in Q carry the induced spin structure. Let H be the mean curvature vector field of M in Q. Write $\mu = \mu(Q, n, \alpha)$. Denote the eigenvalues of the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ acting on functions on M by*

$$0 = \lambda_0(\Delta) < \lambda_1(\Delta) \leq \lambda_2(\Delta) \leq \lambda_3(\Delta) \leq \dots$$

Then the eigenvalues of the Dirac operator $D_M^{\Sigma N}$ on M with coefficients in the spinor bundle of the normal bundle, ΣN, satisfy the estimate

$$\lambda^2_{(k+1)\mu} \leq \frac{n^2}{4}\|H\|^2_{L^\infty(M)} + n^2\alpha^2 + \lambda_k(\Delta)$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots$.

Proof. Let ψ be a Killing spinor on Q with Killing constant α. Normalize ψ such that $|\psi| \equiv 1$. For a smooth function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ a computation similar to

the one in the proof of Theorem 3.1 yields

$$\begin{aligned}\left((\tilde{D}_M^{\Sigma N})^2(f\psi), f\psi\right)_{L^2(M)} &= \left(\tilde{D}(f\psi), \tilde{D}(f\psi)\right)_{L^2(M)} \\ &= n^2\alpha^2(f\psi, f\psi)_{L^2(M)} \\ &\quad + \left(\gamma_Q\left(\frac{n}{2}fH + gradf\right)\psi, \gamma_Q\left(\frac{n}{2}fH + gradf\right)\psi\right)_{L^2(M)} \\ &= n^2\alpha^2(f,f)_{L^2(M)} + \frac{n^2}{4}\int_M f^2|H|^2 + (gradf, gradf)_{L^2(M)}\,.\end{aligned}$$

For the Rayleigh quotient we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\left((\tilde{D}_M^{\Sigma N})^2(f\psi), f\psi\right)_{L^2(M)}}{(f\psi, f\psi)_{L^2(M)}} &= n^2\alpha^2 + \frac{\frac{n^2}{4}\int_M f^2|H|^2}{(f,f)_{L^2(M)}} + \frac{(gradf, gradf)_{L^2(M)}}{(f,f)_{L^2(M)}} \\ &\leq n^2\alpha^2 + \frac{n^2}{4}\|H\|^2_{L^\infty(M)} + \frac{(\Delta f, f)_{L^2(M)}}{(f,f)_{L^2(M)}}.\end{aligned}$$

Using the test space spanned by products $f\psi$ where ψ is a Killing spinor on Q with Killing constant α and f is an eigenfunction of Δ for the eigenvalue $\lambda_j(\Delta)$, $j \leq k$, the min-max principle yields the proof. □

References

[1] M. Atiyah, *Eigenvalues of the Dirac operator*, in: Arbeitstagung 1984, Springer Lecture Notes **1111**, *251–260* (1985)

[2] N. Anghel, *Extrinsic upper bounds for eigenvalues of Dirac-type operators*, Proc. AMS **117**, *501–509* (1993)

[3] C. Bär, *Upper Eigenvalue Estimates for Dirac Operators*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **10**, *171–177* (1992)

[4] C. Bär, *Lower eigenvalue estimates for Dirac operators*, Math. Ann. **293**, *39–46* (1992)

[5] C. Bär, *Real Killing Spinors and Holonomy*, Commun. Math. Phys. **154**, *509–521* (1993)

[6] H. Baum, *An upper bound for the first eigenvalue of the Dirac operator on compact spin manifolds*, Math. Z. **206**, *409–422* (1991)

[7] H. Baum, *Complete Riemannian manifolds with imaginary Killing spinors*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **7**, *205–226* (1989)

[8] N. Berline, E. Getzler, M. Vergne, **Heat kernels and Dirac operators**, Springer 1991

[9] U. Bunke, *Upper Bounds of small Eigenvalues of the Dirac Operator and Isometric immersions*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **9**, *109–116* (1991)

[10] T. Friedrich, *Der erste Eigenwert des Dirac-Operators einer kompakten Riemannschen Mannigfaltigkeit nicht-negativer Krümmung*, Math. Nach. **97**, *117–146* (1980)

[11] U. Hertrich-Jeromin, U. Pinkall, *Ein Beweis der Willmoreschen Vermutung für Kanaltori*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **430**, *21–34* (1992)

[12] N. Hitchin, *Harmonic spinors*, Adv. Math. **14**, *1–55* (1974)

[13] J. Langer, D. Singer, *Curves in the hyperbolic plane and mean curvature of tori in 3-space*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **16** *531–534* (1984)

[14] H.B. Lawson, M.L. Michelsohn, **Spin Geometry**, Princeton University Press, Princeton 1989

[15] P. Li, S.T. Yau, *A conformal invariant and applications to the Willmore conjecture and the first eigenvalue for compact surfaces*, Invent. Math. **69**, *269–291* (1982)

[16] J. Milnor, *Remarks concerning spin manifolds*, in: S. Cairns (ed.), **Differential and Combinatorial Topology**, Princeton 1965, *55–62*

[17] K. Shiohama, A. Takagi, *A characterization of a standard torus in E^3*, J. Diff. Geom. **4**, *477–485* (1970)

[18] C. Vafa, E. Witten, *Eigenvalue inequalities for fermions in gauge theories*, Commun. Math. Phys. **95**, *257–276* (1984)

[19] M. Wang, *Parallel spinors and parallel forms*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **7**, *59–68* (1989)

[20] T.J. Willmore, **Riemannian Geometry**, Oxford University Press, Oxford 1996

Author's address:

Mathematisches Institut
Universität Freiburg
Eckerstr. 1
79104 Freiburg
Germany

e-mail: `baer@mathematik.uni-freiburg.de`